# MIDLAND VALLEY PREPARATORY SCHOOL 2432 Jefferson Davis Highway Graniteville, SC 29829 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 180 Students ENROLLMENT Lilian K. Thomas 803-594-1028 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Linda B. Eldridge 803-641-2428 Dr. John B. Bradley 803-641-2431 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 17 47 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 5 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

46.5

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

·			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	0	18	13
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/R	94.4%	76.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/R	88.9%	76.9%
Parcent satisfied with home-school relations	N/P	100.0%	01 7%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

#### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective Etrolinent 1st July of Testing olo Belon Basic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 95.3 86 36.6 35.2 26.8 1.4 28.2 17.6 Gender Male 46 95.7 44.7 39.5 15.8 N/A 15.8 17.6 Female 95.0 28.1 28.1 40.6 3.1 43.8 17.6 40 Racial/Ethnic Group 93.7 27.1 39.6 31.3 2.1 33.3 17.6 White 63 African-American 100.0 55.0 25.0 20.0 N/A 20.0 17.6 21 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 American Indian/Alaskan N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 0.0 Disability Status Not disabled 34.3 26.9 28.4 76 98.7 37.3 1.5 17.6 Disabled 10 70.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 86 95.3 37.1 34.3 27.1 1.4 28.6 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 95.3 37.1 34.3 27.1 1.4 28.6 17.6 86 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 92.1 50.0 32.1 17.9 N/A 17.9 17.6 38 Full-pay meals 48 97.9 28.6 35.7 33.3 2.4 35.7 17.6 Mathematics All students 86 95.3 38.0 53.5 8.5 N/A 8.5 15.5 Gender Male 95.7 52.6 42.1 5.3 N/A 5.3 46 15.5 Female 40 95.0 21.9 65.6 12.5 N/A 12.5 15.5 Racial/Ethnic Group White 93.7 29.2 58.3 12.5 N/A 12.5 15.5 63 African-American 21 100.0 55.0 45.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 98.7 35.8 56.7 N/A 15.5 76 7.5 7.5 Disabled 70.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 10 N/A Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 86 95.3 38.6 52.9 8.6 N/A 8.6 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 86 95.3 38.6 52.9 8.6 N/A 8.6 15.5 Socio-Economic Status

#### Abbreviations for Missing Data

50.0

31.0

46.4

57.1

3.6

11.9

N/A

N/A

3.6

11.9

15.5

15.5

38

48

92.1

97.9

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	and Jo	deer ole Be	NOW OF	888 olo	Sko.	Adva olo bioli
		\ ELL O	<u>»</u>	/		/		0/0,
				English	ı/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	32	100.0	25.9	29.6	40.7	3.7	44.4
	Grade 4	17	100.0	31.3	43.8	25.0	N/A	25.0
2003	Grade 5	19	84.2	75.0	16.7	8.3	N/A	8.3
20	Grade 6	18	94.4	31.3	50.0	18.8	N/A	18.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	32	100.0	33.3	55.6	11.1	N/A	11.1
	Grade 4	17	100.0	37.5	56.3	6.3	N/A	6.3
2003	Grade 5	19	84.2	58.3	41.7	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	18	94.4	31.3	56.3	12.5	N/A	12.5
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE	Elementary				
C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School	
Students (n= 180)					
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Retention rate	0.5%	N/A	2.6%	2.4%	
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	96.1%	N/A	96.0%	95.9%	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	10.6%	N/A	20.6%	13.2%	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	5.2%	N/A	7.3%	8.0%	
Older than usual for grade	2.0%	N/A	0.8%	1.1%	
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	N/R	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 13)					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	23.0%	N/A	52.6%	50.0%	
	100.0%	N/A	90.2%	85.3%	
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	N/A	N/A	88.4%	86.2%	
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	91.7%	N/R	95.5%	95.3%	
	\$30,354	N/A	\$40,663	\$39,909	
Prof. development days/teacher	9.3 days	N/R	10.6 days	11.4 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	1.0	N/R	5.0	4.0	
Student-teacher ratio	12.4 to 1	N/R	19.3 to 1	18.9 to 1	
Prime instructional time	87.1%	N/R	90.2%	89.7%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	N/A	N/A	\$5,577	\$5,892	
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	N/A	N/A	66.8%	66.6%	
	Good	N/R	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	N/R	99.0%	99.0%	
	no	N/A	yes	yes	
			•	,	

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payarty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

## Abbreviations for Missing Data

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Midland Valley Preparatory School is the first charter elementary school in Aiken County. The focus of our school is to promote the development of the whole child in areas of academics, social behavior, and community involvement, as well as to foster a strong relationship with our parents that will solidify the growth of each of our students. Our teachers, parents, and various community leaders joined together to identify the needs of our students in all areas and then engaged in diverse and out-of-the-box methodologies to achieve higher standards.

Our parents attended all of our student conferences and the Book Fair, as well as field trips and school programs. Our teachers dedicated their time both during and after school to tutor children, plan engaging lessons, and recruit parental involvement. As a result of these combined efforts, our students have excelled and have achieved many accomplishments. One of our sixth grade students placed second in the area spelling bee, and we had a fifth grade student who was a finalist in the SRS art safety contest. In addition, all of our classes, K5 through sixth grade, participated in community service projects such as: donating to the Toys-for-Tots program; the Golden Harvest food bank; and food for the SPCA. We also had classes that visited area nursing homes regularly. Our school sponsored a booth at the annual Relay-for-Life walk-a-thon, and our sixth grade class filled purses with supplies for the battered women's shelter and volunteered at the local Special Olympics event.

The school was awarded a \$200,000 implementation grant by the state at the beginning of our school year. With this money, we extended our technological advances by adding computers to every classroom and developing a student-led news program and live broadcast. Many of our teachers have had computer training and eagerly integrate techniques they have learned into their classroom lessons.

Our teachers are committed to helping their students achieve academic success. Many of our teachers have enrolled in staff development and/or college courses to ensure that the learning process between teacher and student is not interrupted.

Overall, we have had a wonderful first year of operation in Aiken County. We look forward to the future growth of our students, our teachers, and our school. As always, we encourage our parents and community leaders to be involved with and assist in the learning process of our students. It is with this invitation that we embark upon a new and exciting school year with our students.

Lilian K. Thomas, Administrator

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.